Appl. No. 10/663,538 Amdt. dated November 17, 2006 Reply to Office Action of May 18, 2006

REMARKS

In the restriction requirement mailed May 18, 2006, the Examiner required restriction to one of three groups designated A, B and C under 35 U.S.C. § 121.

Applicants elect group A without traverse.

The Examiner further imposes a restriction between SEQ ID NOS: 1, 3, 5 and 9. Applicants elect SEQ ID NO:1 but traverse on the basis that MPEP 2435 provides that in most cases up to ten sequences will be examined. In the present case, SEQ ID NOS. 1, 3, 5 and 9 are splice variants of the same gene and should be examined together.

The Examiner further imposes a restriction between SEQ ID NOS: 2, 4, 6 and 10. Applicants select SEQ ID NO:2 but traverse for analogous reasons to those discussed avove.

The Examiner further imposes a species election between PSD95, DLG1 and neDLG. Applicants elect DLG1 without traverse. All elected claims read on the elected species.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 650/326-2400.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 17, 2006

Joe Liebeschuetz Reg. No. 37,505

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: 303-571-4000 Fax: 415-576-0300

60848122 v1